Field Survey for Meadows and Roads $^{\rm 1}$

Forest: Eldorado National Forest	District: Amador	County: Amador
Observers Ryan Lockwood, Eliza	a Lubetkin	Date: 27 July 2011
Number and/or name of road or trail		UTM O End of road
Meadow reference number 9NO	2-A11	115 242454 x 4277076
Characteristics of meadow		
Is the feature a meadow? Yes No (Describe what the feature actually is)		
Does the location of the meadow on-the-ground match the information in GIS? Yes No		
(If the answer is no, please describe the difference).		
Landscape overall has extensive meadow development, more than is shown in GIS by ~50% of in area.		
Wetness of meadow (Select the best answer Moderately wet (Surface water in part of feature and/or ground surface wet in most of feature). at the time of the Slightly wet (No surface water. Ground surface wet in part of feature). Mostly dry (No surface water. Ground surface dry in most of feature). Dry (No surface water. Ground surface dry in entire feature).		
Predominate riparian/wetland vegetation: Alder, willow, corn lily, forbs Abundance and location: Predominant non-riparian/wetland vegetation: Lodge pole, Mtu Hemlork Abundance and location:		
Proximity and characteristics of motorized routes in the meadow		
Does the road or trail enter the meadow? Yes Approx. feet or miles		
Does the road or trail parallel a portion of the meadow (within 100 ft. of the edge of the meadow)? YesNo (Describe the distance that the road or trail parallels the meadow, and attach photos.)		
Is there evidence of motorized use off of the road or trail and into the meadow? YesNo (Describe the evidence, and attach photos.)		
(Describe the evidence, and attach photos.) One trajor non-system route present (photos taken). Are there stream channel crossings along the road in the meadow? Yes No Pipes/culverts Bridge Raw crossing Are there cross drain structures along the road or trail in the meadow? Are there cross drain structures along the road or trail in the meadow?		
Many culverts, 12 or more Bridge Raw crossing		
Are there cross drain structures along the road or trail in the meadow? Yes No Pipes/culverts Rolling dip		
Rolling dip		

¹ Use a separate form for each road or trail.

Field Survey for Meadows and Roads (page 2)
27 July 2011 R. Lockwood, E. Lubetkin

9N01

Condition of meadow Active erosion features (rills, gullies, headcuts) in meadow? Yes Yes (If yes, describe the type, number, size, and location of the erosional features and whether they appear to be related to the road or trail.) Yes Yes Old, healed erosional features in meadow? (If yes, describe the type, number, size, and location of the erosional features and whether they appear to be related to the road or trail.) Hummocky surface in portions of the meadow? (If yes, describe location and aerial extent in meadow). If the road(s) or trail(s) goes through the meadow, is the road/trail noticeably affecting the movement of water through the meadow? (If yes, describe the physical evidence). Yes If the road) or trail parallels a portion of the meadow, is the road/trail noticeably affecting the movement of water into or out of the (If yes, describe the evidence). ¥ Yes ____No Is a road(s) or trail(s) contributing sediment into the meadow to the extent that it is noticeably affecting the size and/or function of the meadow? Yes _____No (If yes, describe the physical evidence). Erosian and deposition Other land disturbances within the meadow? (If yes to the above, describe types and extent). Stream flowing through the meadow? No Is stream eroding vertically (i.e. active downcutting)? No ⊬ Yes No Is stream eroding laterally (i.e. actively widening?) 🔀 Yes No Does stream contain an active headcut(s)? Yes Does stream contain old (healed) headcut(s)? Aquatic species (incidental sightings only - no survey to a specific protocol) List aquatic species present, numbers of individuals, and life stage D6Served None Findings with respect to Standard and Guideline (S&G) #100 of the SNFPA Yes. S&G #100 is being met with regard to this road/trail and meadow. No. S&G #100 is NOT being met with regard to this road and meadow. I. Inconclusive. Field evidence not sufficient to determine if S&G #100 is being met. S&G 100: Maintain and restore the hydrologic connectivity of streams, meadows, wetlands, and other special aquatic features by identifying roads and trails that intercept, divert, or disrupt natural surface and subsurface water flow paths. Implement corrective actions where necessary to restore connectivity. Other Comments imple and obvious effects to hydrology from road-see photos.